


**REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE
HARRISON COUNTY
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES**

May 27, 2003



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR.
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
www.kyauditor.net

**144 CAPITOL ANNEX
FRANKFORT, KY 40601
TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841
FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912**



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR.
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Dean Peak, Harrison County Judge/Executive
Honorable Bruce Hampton, Harrison County Sheriff
Members of the Harrison County Fiscal Court

The enclosed report prepared by Ross & Company, PLLC, Certified Public Accountants, presents the Harrison County Sheriff's Settlement - 2002 Taxes as of May 27, 2003.

We engaged Ross & Company, PLLC, to perform the financial audit of this statement. We worked closely with the firm during our report review process; Ross & Company, PLLC, evaluated the Harrison County Sheriff's internal controls and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Ed Hatchett".

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr.
Auditor of Public Accounts

Enclosure



**REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE
HARRISON COUNTY
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES**

May 27, 2003

ROSS & COMPANY, PLLC
Certified Public Accountants
800 Envoy Circle
Louisville, KY 40299
Telephone (502) 499-9088
Facsimile (502) 499-9132

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE
HARRISON COUNTY
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES

May 27,2003

Ross & Company, PLLC, has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2002 Taxes for Harrison County Sheriff as of May 27, 2003. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected taxes of \$4,570,169 for the districts for 2002 taxes, retaining commissions of \$154,521 to operate the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$4,415,039 to the districts for 2002 Taxes. Taxes of \$282 are due to the districts from the Sheriff and refunds of \$37 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comment:

- Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds.

<u>CONTENTS</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT	1
SHERIFF’S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	5
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	9
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	13

ROSS & COMPANY, PLLC
Certified Public Accountants
800 Envoy Circle
Louisville, KY 40299
Telephone (502) 499-9088
Facsimile (502) 499-9132

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Dean Peak, Harrison County Judge/Executive
Honorable Bruce Hampton, Harrison County Sheriff
Members of the Harrison County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Harrison County Sheriff's Settlement - 2002 Taxes as of May 27, 2003. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Harrison County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Harrison County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid as of May 27, 2003, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated August 21, 2003, on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Dean Peak, Harrison County Judge/Executive
Honorable Bruce Hampton, Harrison County Sheriff
Members of the Harrison County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

- Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Ross & Company".

Ross & Company, PLLC

Audit fieldwork completed -
August 21, 2003

HARRISON COUNTY
BRUCE HAMPTON, COUNTY SHERIFF
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES

May 27, 2003

<u>Charges</u>	<u>County Taxes</u>	<u>Special Taxing Districts</u>	<u>School Taxes</u>	<u>State Taxes</u>
Real Estate	\$ 526,752	\$ 547,822	\$ 2,018,293	\$ 749,040
Tangible Personal Property	45,353	45,494	137,817	246,880
Intangible Personal Property				36,049
Increases Through Exonerations	157	163	602	1,298
Franchise Corporation	62,863	62,511	201,035	
Additional Billings	3,569	3,711	13,892	5,168
Penalties	3,594	3,732	13,652	5,722
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt	7	8	1	37
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff	<u>\$ 642,295</u>	<u>\$ 663,441</u>	<u>\$ 2,385,292</u>	<u>\$ 1,044,194</u>
<u>Credits</u>				
Exonerations	\$ 3,970	\$ 4,090	\$ 14,373	\$ 7,922
Discounts	8,751	9,073	32,903	16,570
Delinquents:				
Real Estate	8,102	8,426	31,043	11,513
Tangible Personal Property	60	60	184	202
Uncollected Franchise	1,540	1,547	4,724	
Total Credits	<u>\$ 22,423</u>	<u>\$ 23,196</u>	<u>\$ 83,227</u>	<u>\$ 36,207</u>
Taxes Collected	\$ 619,872	\$ 640,245	\$ 2,302,065	\$ 1,007,987
Less: Commissions *	<u>26,632</u>	<u>27,210</u>	<u>57,552</u>	<u>43,127</u>
Taxes Due	\$ 593,240	\$ 613,035	\$ 2,244,513	\$ 964,860
Taxes Paid	593,168	612,986	2,244,159	964,726
Refunds (Current and Prior Year)	<u>50</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>191</u>	<u>71</u>
Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff)		**		
as of Completion of Fieldwork	<u>\$ 22</u>	<u>\$ (3)</u>	<u>\$ 163</u>	<u>\$ 63</u>

* and ** See Page 4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

HARRISON COUNTY
 BRUCE HAMPTON, COUNTY SHERIFF
 SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES
 May 27, 2003
 (Continued)

* Commissions:

10% on	\$	10,000
4.25% on	\$	2,258,104
2.5% on	\$	2,302,065

** Special Taxing Districts:

Library District	\$	17
Health District		14
Extension District		(37)
Soil Conservation District		<u>3</u>
Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff)	\$	<u><u>(3)</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

HARRISON COUNTY
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 27, 2003

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of May 27, 2003, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.

HARRISON COUNTY
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT
May 27, 2003
(Continued)

Note 4. Tax Collection Period

A. Property Taxes

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2002. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2003. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was October 17, 2002 through April 8, 2003.

Note 5. Interest Income

The Harrison County Sheriff earned \$2,507 as interest income on 2002 taxes. As of August 21, 2003, the Sheriff is due \$16 in interest from the school district and owes \$16 in interest to his fee account.

Note 6. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Harrison County Sheriff collected \$19,370 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.430(3). This amount will be used to operate the Sheriff's office.

Note 7. Advertising Costs And Fees

The Harrison County Sheriff collected \$436 of advertising costs and \$2,136 of advertising fees allowed by KRS 424.330(1) and KRS 134.440(2). The Sheriff distributed the advertising costs to the county as required by statute, and the advertising fees will be used to operate the Sheriff's office.

COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

HARRISON COUNTY
BRUCE HAMPTON, COUNTY SHERIFF
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

As of May 17, 2003

INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESSES:

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

We recognize the extent of segregation of duties is a judgment established by management. We also recognize this judgment is affected by certain circumstances beyond the elected official's control such as functions prescribed by statutes and regulations, and by budgetary constraints. Due to limited staff, a proper segregation of duties may be impossible. However, the lack of adequate segregation of duties is hereby noted as a reportable condition pursuant to professional auditing standards. We believe this reportable condition as described above is a material weakness. We recommend the Sheriff establish a proper segregation of duties over his operations.

County Sheriff's Response:

We will attempt to correct this in the future.

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE
AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL
REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT
PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

ROSS & COMPANY, PLLC
Certified Public Accountants
800 Envoy Circle
Louisville, KY 40299
Telephone (502) 499-9088
Facsimile (502) 499-9132

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Dean Peak, Harrison County Judge/Executive
Honorable Bruce Hampton, Harrison County Sheriff
Members of the Harrison County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Harrison County Sheriff's Settlement - 2002 Taxes as of May 27, 2003, and have issued our report thereon dated August 21, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Harrison County Sheriff's Settlement - 2002 Taxes as of May 27, 2003 is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Harrison County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. The reportable condition is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation.


- Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards
(Continued)

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions, and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we consider the reportable condition described above to be a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Ross & Company".

Ross & Company, PLLC

Audit fieldwork completed -
August 21, 2003

